arXiv:1702.08175v1 [gr-qc] 27 Feb 2017

Thermal Stability Of Black Holes With Multiple Hairs In Any Dimension

Aloke Kumar Sinha*
Ramakrishna Mission Vivekananda University, Belur Math 711202, India
Haldia Government College,West Bengal, India

We investigate the thermal stability under Hawking radiation, of quantum black holes with multiple hairs in arbitrary spacetime dimension. We derive suitable criteria for thermal stability of such black holes, using results of loop quantum gravity and equilibrium statistical mechanics of the Grand Canonical ensemble. There is no explicit use of classical spacetime geometry at any stage in this analysis. The only assumption made here is that the mass of the black hole is a function of its horizon area and all hairs (charge , angular momentum , any other types of classical and quantum hairs). Our stability criteria are then tested in detail against some specific black holes, whose metrics provide us with explicit relations for the dependence of the mass on the area and other hairs of the black holes. This enables us to predict which of these black holes are expected to be thermally unstable under Hawking radiation.

PACS numbers: 04.70.-s, 04.70.Dy

I. INTRODUCTION

Semiclassical analyses of thermal stability of quantum radiant black holes invariably depend crucially on the classical black hole metric, and thus end up being a 'case-by-case' investigation. General criteria for thermal stability, irrespective of black hole metrics are thus unlikely to be formulated within the semiclassical domain. To this end, an alternative idea, that of 'Thermal Holography' has been employed [1, 2] with a modicum of success. This formulation bypasses the need to use specific black hole metrics by taking recourse to ideas gleaned from Loop Quantum Gravity [3, 4] (which has given the first non-perturbative quantum spacetime corrections to the Bekenstein-Hawking area law for black hole entropy [5]-[11]), as also standard equilibrium statistical mechanics. The approach has been generalized recently to include standard classical hairs like electric charge and angular momentum [12, 13]. In the latter work, specific black holes from warped geometries and string theories in various spacetime dimensions are considered as examples, and their thermal stability behaviour is predicted on the basis of our stability criteria, to emphasize the generality of the approach.

In a full theory of quantum gravity, many quantum hairs, apart from classical hairs, may also arise and they also contribute to the dynamics of black holes ([14])-([20]). Therefore, we expect that the thermal behaviour of a quantum black hole to depend on all of its hairs. This multiplicity of hairs will change the energy function of the black hole. This may in turn make the stability criteria more complicated. It is obvious that stability criteria will now depend on the interplay among quantum hairs as well. In this paper, we perform an analysis, based on the notions of thermal holography, to derive a set of criteria of thermal stability with regard to Hawking radiation, for black holes in any spacetime dimension and possessing multiple hairs, be they quantum or classical. This constitutes a non-trivial generalization of our previous work [12], since the restriction in that work to general relativistic black holes in four dimensions meant that black holes in warped higher dimensional spacetimes as well as stringy black holes could not be brought into the ambit of the previous analysis. The rather general stability criteria we derive in this paper has predictive power for black hole solutions beyond those of general relativity, and therein lie their applicability. The analysis also provides the general underpinning of the entire genre of work : thermal stability (or otherwise) has to do roughly with a competition between the energy and the entropy of black holes, or at least their rates of changes with respect to the area and various hairs. The importance of entropy in the stability analysis divulges the reason why a quantal analysis going beyond semiclassical gravity is necessary.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, the idea of thermal holography, alongwith the concept of (holographic) mass associated with horizon of a black hole is briefly reviewed and the grand canonical entropy of a large quantum black hole, with arbitrary number of hairs , is determined. In section 3, the criterion for thermal stability of such black holes is determined by using saddle point approximation to evaluate the horizon partition function for Gaussian thermal fluctuations around thermal equilibrium. Here,

*Electronic address: akshooghly@gmail.com

we also compare our stability criteria with that obtained earlier as a particular case. In the next section, this stability criterion is used to test on various explicit classical black holes, with the objective of predicting their behaviour under decay due to Hawking radiation. We end in section 5 with a brief summary and outlook.

II. THERMAL HOLOGRAPHY

In this section, we present a generalization of the thermal holography for rotating electrically charged quantum radiant horizons discussed in [12], to the situation when the horizon has arbitrary number of hairs. To make this section self-contained, some overlap with [12] is inevitable.

A. Mass Associated With horizon

Black holes at equilibrium are represented by isolated horizons, which are internal boundaries of spacetime. Hamiltonian evolution of this spacetime gives the first law associated with isolated horizon(b) and is given as,

$$\delta E_h^t = \frac{\kappa^t}{8\pi}\delta A_h + P_i^t \delta C_h^i \tag{1}$$

Here, Einstein summation convention is used i.e. summation over repeated indice i from 1 to n(=total number of hairs) is implied and E_h^t is the energy function associated with the horizon; κ^t, P_i^t are respectively the surface gravity associated with the area of horizon(A_h), potential corresponding to the charge (hair) C_h^i. The label 't' denotes the particular time evolution field (t^μ) associated with the spatial hypersurface chosen. This hypersurface foliates the horizon. E_h^t is assumed here to be a function of A_h and all C_h^i.

The advantage of the isolated (and also the radiant or *dynamical*) horizon description is that one can associate with it a mass M_h^t, related to the ADM energy of the spacetime through the relation

$$E_{ADM}^t = M_h^t + E_{rad}^t \tag{2}$$

where, E_{rad}^t is the energy associated with spacetime between the horizon and asymptopia. For stationary spacetimes, the existence of a global timelike killing vector requires that $E_{rad}^t = 0$, implying that the ADM energy, measured at asymptopia, is the only definition of the energy of such a spacetime. An isolated horizon, in contrast, does not require stationarity, and therefore admits $E_{rad}^t \neq 0$, and hence a mass defined *locally* on the horizon.

B. Quantum Geometry

The Hilbert space of a generic quantum spacetime is given as, $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_b \otimes \mathcal{H}_v$, where $b(v)$ denotes the boundary (bulk) space. A generic quantum state is thus given as

$$|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{b,v} C_{b,v} |\chi_b\rangle \otimes |\psi_v\rangle \tag{3}$$

Now, the full Hamiltonian operator ($\widehat{H}$), operating on $\mathcal{H}$ is given by

$$\widehat{H}|\Psi\rangle = (\widehat{H_b}\otimes I_v + I_b \otimes \widehat{H_v})|\Psi\rangle \tag{4}$$

where, respectively, $I_b(I_v)$ are identity operators on $\mathcal{H}_b(\mathcal{H}_v)$ and $\widehat{H_b}(\widehat{H_v})$ are the Hamiltonian operators on $\mathcal{H}_b(\mathcal{H}_v)$.

The first class constraints are realized on Hilbert space as annihilation constraints on physical states. The bulk Hamiltonian operator thus annihilates bulk physical states

$$\widehat{H_v}|\psi_v\rangle = 0 \tag{5}$$

The bulk quantum spacetime is assumed to be free of any charge(hair), so that eqn. (5) is augmented by the relation

$$[\widehat{H_v} - P_i \widehat{C_v^i}]|\psi_v\rangle = 0 \ . \tag{6}$$

C. Grand Canonical Partition Function

Consider the black hole immersed in a heat bath, at some (inverse) temperature β, with which it can exchange energy and all kinds of hairs(charges). The grand canonical partition function of the black hole is given as,

$$Z_G = Tr(exp(-\beta\widehat{H} + \beta P_i\widehat{C^i})) \tag{7}$$

where the trace is taken over all states. This definition, together with eqn.s (3) , (5) and (6) yield

$$\begin{aligned} Z_G &= \sum_{b,v} |C_{b,v}|^2 \langle\psi_v|\psi_v\rangle\langle\chi_b|exp(-\beta\widehat{H_b} + \beta P_i\widehat{C^i})|\chi_b\rangle \\ &= \sum_{b} |C_b|^2 \langle\chi_b|exp(-\beta\widehat{H_b} + \beta P_i\widehat{C^i})|\chi_b\rangle \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

assuming that the bulk states are normalized. The partition function thus turns out to be completely determined by the boundary states (Z_{Gb}), i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} Z = Z_{Gb} &= Tr_b \exp(-\beta\widehat{H} + \beta P_i\widehat{C^i}) \\ &= \sum_{l,k_1,...,k_n} g(l,k_1,...,k_n) \quad \exp\Big(-\beta\Big(E(A_l, C^1_{k_1}, ..., C^n_{k_n}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i C^i_{k_i}\Big)\Big) \ . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Where $g(l,k_1,...,k_n)$ is the degeneracy corresponding to energy $E(A_l, C^1_{k_1}, ..., C^n_{k_n})$ and l, k_i are the quantum numbers corresponding to area and charge C^i respectively. Here, the spectrum of the boundary Hamiltonian operator is assumed to be a function of area and all other charges of the boundary, considered here to be the horizon. Following [13], it is further assumed that these 'quantum hairs' all have a discrete spectrum. In the macroscopic limit of quantum isolated horizons of large area, $A_h >> l_P^2$, they all have large eigenvalues i.e. $(l, k_i >> 1)$, so that, application of the Poisson resummation formula [21] gives

$$Z_G = \int dx \ \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\int dy_i\Big) \ g(A(x), C^1(y_1), ..., C^n(y_n)) \ \exp\Big(-\beta\Big(E(A(x), C^1(y_1), ..., C^n(y_n)) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i C^i(y_i)\Big)\Big) \tag{10}$$

where x, y_i are respectively the continuum limit of l, k_i respectively.

It is proven [6] that for Black Holes with large area, area is linearly quantized. But till now detail nature of spectrum for all other charges are not completely known. So, without being biased, all the spectrum of charges are assumed to be linearly quantized. This is no longer a oversimplified assumption as it makes the stability criteria complicated and interesting enough 15 16 . So the spectrum of the area and charges are linear in their arguments and hence a change of variables gives, with constant Jacobian, the result

$$Z_G = \int dA \ \Big(\prod_{i=1}^{n}\int dC^i\Big) \ \exp\Big(S(A) - \beta\Big(E(A, C^1, ..., C^n) - P_i C^i\Big)\Big) \ , \tag{11}$$

where, following [22], the *microcanonical* entropy of the horizon is defined by $\exp S(A) \equiv \frac{g(A(x),C(y_1),...,C(y_n))}{\frac{dA}{dx}\frac{dC^1}{dy_1}...\frac{dC^n}{dy_n}}$.

III. STABILITY AGAINST GAUSSIAN FLUCTUATIONS

A. Saddle Point Approximation

The equilibrium configuration of black hole is given by the saddle point $\bar{A}, \bar{C^i}$ in the $(n+1)$ dimensional space of integration over area and n charges. This configuration is identified with with an isolated horizon, as already mentioned. The idea now is to examine the grand canonical partition function for fluctuations $a = (A - \bar{A}), c^i = (C^i - \bar{C^i})$ around the saddle point, in order to determine the stability of the equilibrium isolated horizon under Hawking radiation. We restrict our attention to Gaussian fluctuations. Taylor

expanding eqn (11) about the saddle point, yields

$$\begin{aligned} Z_G &= \exp[S(\bar{A}) - \beta M(\bar{A}, \bar{C^1}, ..., \bar{C^n}) + \beta P_i \bar{C^i}] \\ &\times \int dA \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int dC^i \right) \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}[(\beta M_{AA} - S_{AA})a^2 + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta M_{AC^i} a c^i \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta M_{C^i C^j} c^i c^j]\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where $M(\bar{A}, \bar{C^1}, ..., \bar{C^n})$ is the mass of equilibrium isolated horizon. Here $M_{AC^i} \equiv \partial^2 M/\partial A \partial C^i|_{(\bar{A}, \bar{C^1}, ..., \bar{C^n})}$ etc.

Observe that all observables of Loop Quantum Gravity used here are self-adjoint operators over the boundary Hilbert space, and hence their eigenvalues are real. It suffices therefore to restrict integrations over the spectra of these operators to the real axes.

Now, in the Saddle point approximation the coefficients of terms linear in a, c^i vanish by definition of the saddle point. These imply that, at saddle point

$$\beta = \frac{S_A}{M_A}, \qquad M_{C^i} = P_i \tag{13}$$

B. Stability Criteria

Convergence of the integral (12) implies that the Hessian matrix (H) has to be positive definite, where

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} \beta M_{AA} - S_{AA} & \beta M_{AC^1} & \beta M_{AC^2} & ..... & \beta M_{AC^n} \\ \beta M_{AC^1} & \beta M_{C^1C^1} & \beta M_{C^1C^2} & ..... & \beta M_{C^1C^n} \\ \beta M_{AC^2} & \beta M_{C^1C^2} & \beta M_{C^2C^2} & ..... & \beta M_{C^2C^n} \\ ..... & ..... & ..... & ..... & ..... \\ \beta M_{AC^n} & \beta M_{C^1C^n} & \beta M_{C^2C^n} & ..... & \beta M_{C^nC^n} \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

Here, all the derivatives are calculated at the saddle point.The Hessian matrix is real symmetric and hence it can be diagonalized by orthogonal matrix. So, positive definiteness of Hessian matrix boils down to the positivity of $(n+1)$ eigenvalues of Hessian matrix. Hence the stability criteria is equivalent to the criteria of positivity of all eigenvalues of Hessian matrix and is given as :

$$D_1 > 0, D_2 > 0, ..., D_{n+1} > 0 \tag{15}$$

Where,

$$\begin{aligned} D_1 &= \beta M_{AA} - S_{AA}, \qquad D_2 = \begin{vmatrix} \beta M_{AA} - S_{AA} & \beta M_{AC^1} \\ \beta M_{AC^1} & \beta M_{C^1C^1} \end{vmatrix}, \\ D_3 &= \begin{vmatrix} \beta M_{AA} - S_{AA} & \beta M_{AC^1} & \beta M_{AC^2} \\ \beta M_{AC^1} & \beta M_{C^1C^1} & \beta M_{C^1C^2} \\ \beta M_{AC^2} & \beta M_{C^2C^1} & \beta M_{C^2C^2} \end{vmatrix}, ..., D_{n+1} = |H| \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Where, $|H|$ = determinant of the matrix H and so on.

Of course, (inverse) temperature β is assumed to be positive for a stable configuration. What is new is the requirement that this temperature must increase with horizon area, inherent in the positivity of the quantity $(\beta M_{AA} - S_{AA})$ which appears in several of the stability criteria. If this is violated, as for example in case of the standard Schwarzschild black hole, thermal instability is inevitable.

The convexity property of the entropy follows from the condition of convergence of partition function under gaussian fluctuations [21], [22], [23]. The thermal stability is related to the convexity property of entropy. Hence, the above conditions are correctly the conditions for thermal stability. Equations (15) and (16) show the stability depends on the interplay among various Second derivatives of Mass

of black hole with respect to area and various charges. This in turn implies that how do potentials (e.g. Electric Potential, Angular speed etc.) vary with area and all charges in the vicinity of equilibrium are the deciding factors. This is quite expected as unless these variations are there in a proper manner(15) (16), little bit of deviation from equilibrium would shift black hole even farther from equilibrium.

For rotating charged horizons, eqn.s (15) and (16)reproduce the thermal stability criterion with $n = 2$ i.e. $D_1 > 0, D_2 > 0, D_3 > 0$ with the identification that charge of the black hole(Q)=C^1 and angular momentum of the black hole(J)=C^2. It can be easily checked that these three conditions correctly reproduce the Earlier[12] seven conditions of thermal stability of charged rotating black holes. Eqn.s (15) , (16) necessarily tell us that thermal stability of black hole is a consequence of the interplay among all the charges of the black hole.

As claimed in the Introduction, the thermal stability criteria above are derived by the application of standard statistical mechanical formalism to a quantum horizon characterized by various observables having discrete eigenvalue spectra. Thus, no aspect of classical geometry enters the derivation of these criteria. If the mass of the horizon is given in terms of all the charges and mass of the horizon , then it is possible, on the basis of our stability criteria, to predict which black holes will radiate away to extinction, and which ones might find some stability, and for what range of parameters. This is what is attempted in the next section.

IV. PREDICTING THERMAL STABILITY OF CLASSICAL BLACK HOLES

Notice that in the stability criteria derived in the last section, first and second order derivatives of the microcanonical entropy of the horizon at equilibrium play a crucial role, in making some of the criteria non-trivial. Thus, corrections to the microcanonical entropy beyond the Bekenstein-Hawking area law, arising due to quantum spacetime fluctuations, are very significant, because without these, some of the stability criteria might lose their essential physical content. It has been shown that [6] the microcanonical entropy for *macroscopic* isolated horizons has the form

$$S = S_{BH} - \frac{3}{2}\log S_{BH} + \mathcal{O}(S_{BH}^{-1}) \tag{17}$$

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A_h}{4A_P} \ , \ A_P \to \text{Planck area} \ . \tag{18}$$

The corrections beyond S_{BH} in eqn. (17) are responsible for the non-triviality of the thermal stability criteria discussed above.

A. Uncharged Rotating Black Hole in (4+1) Dimensional Flat Spacetime

The properties of uncharged Rotating Black Holes in $(N + 1)$ dimensional spacetime had been studied in details in [24]. We extract out the necessary portions required for $(4 + 1)$ dimensional spacetime. The Mass(M) of the Black hole is given as,

$$M = \frac{3\pi\mu}{8G} \tag{19}$$

where, μ is mass parameter of G is the newton constant for five dimensional spacetime.

Since the spacetime is five dimensional, there will be two independent rotations for the black hole. The rotational parameters(a_1, a_2) are given as,

$$a_1 = \frac{3J_1}{2M}, \qquad a_2 = \frac{3J_2}{2M} \tag{20}$$

where, J_1, J_2 are the two angular momentums of the black hole.

The horizon of the black hole(r_h) is given as,

$$\Pi_{r_h} = \mu r_h^2 \tag{21}$$

where,

$$\Pi_r = (r^2 + a_1^2)(r^2 + a_2^2) \tag{22}$$

Equation No. (21) and (22) together give,

$$2r_h^2 = \mu - a_1^2 - a_2^2 + \sqrt{(\mu - a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2 - 4a_1^2 a_2^2} \tag{23}$$

The surface gravity(κ) of black hole is given as,

$$\kappa = \left.\frac{\frac{\partial(\Pi)}{\partial r} - 2\mu r}{2\mu r^2}\right|_{r_h} \tag{24}$$

Equation No. (22), (23) and (24) together give,

$$\kappa = \frac{\sqrt{(\mu - a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2 - 4a_1^2 a_2^2}}{\mu r_h} \tag{25}$$

The horizon area (A) of black hole is given as,

$$A = \frac{16\pi GM(1 - \frac{a_1^2}{r_h^2 + a_1^2} - \frac{a_2^2}{r_h^2 + a_2^2})}{3\kappa} \tag{26}$$

Last equation implies that large horizon area(A) limit means large value of black hole mass(M) and small value of surface gravity(κ). Equation No. (25) implies that small value of κ means large value of μ and r_h for finite rotation i.e. $\mu > a_1^2, a_2^2$.

Equation No. (23), (25) and (26) together give,

$$A = \frac{16\pi GM}{3} . \frac{1}{\sqrt{(\mu - a_1^2 - a_2^2)^2 - 4a_1^2 a_2^2}} . \frac{r_h^4 - a_1^2 a_2^2}{r_h} \tag{27}$$

Logarithm of last equation in the limit $\mu > a_1^2, a_2^2$ gives ,

$$ln(A) \approx \frac{1}{2} ln(\frac{8G}{3\pi}) + \frac{3}{2} ln(M) + \frac{27\pi}{32GM^3} . (J_1^2 + J_2^2) \tag{28}$$

where, we expressed μ, a_1, a_2 is expressed in terms of M, J_1, J_2 by relation (19) and (20).

In large horizon area (A) limit, it can be easily shown that $D_1 = (\beta M_{AA} - S_{AA})$ is negative. This implies thermal instability of black hole under hawking radiation. The existence of two independent angular momentums are the manifestation of $(4 + 1)$ dimensional spacetime and this is certainly beyond classical four spacetime dimensional general theory of relativity.

B. Asymptotically ADS Dyonic Black Holes with Electric and Magnetic Charge

The properties of Asymptotically ADS Dyonic Black Holes with Electric and magnetic Charge has beed studied in details in ([25]) . The 4 dimensional metric for this black hole is given as,

$$ds^2 = -f dt^2 + f^{-1} dr^2 + R^2 d\Omega^2 \tag{29}$$

where,

$$\phi = \frac{\phi_3}{r^3} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-4}) \tag{30}$$

$$f = \frac{-\Lambda r^2}{3} + 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{Q^2 + P^2}{r^2} + \frac{\Lambda \phi_3^2}{5r^4} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-5}) \tag{31}$$

$$R = r - \frac{3\phi_3^2}{20r^5} + \mathcal{O}(r^{-6}) \tag{32}$$

$$\phi_3 = \frac{g_0}{\Lambda}\int_{r_h}^{\infty}\frac{dr}{R^2}(Q^2 exp(2g_0\phi) - P^2 exp(-2g_0\phi)) \tag{33}$$

Where, r_h, Q, P are the radius of horizon, electric charge and magnetic charge of the black hole respectively. $\Lambda(<0)$ is the cosmological constant , g_0 is diatonic coupling constant and ϕ is the diatonic field.

So, Area of black hole horizon(A) is given as, $A = 4\pi R^2(r = r_h) \approx 4\pi r_h^2$ for large black hole with finite electric and magnetic charges.

The black hole horizon is given as a solution of $f(r = r_h) = 0$. Considering all the above equations , this turns out that

$$M \approx \frac{A^{3/2}}{48l^2\pi^{3/2}} + \frac{A^{1/2}}{4\pi^{1/2}} + \frac{\pi^{1/2}(Q^2+P^2)}{A^{1/2}} \tag{34}$$

Where $\Lambda = -1/l^2$, l is the cosmic length.

Retaining the leading terms in the horizon area, as before, Eqn.s (13), (17) and (34) and give the inverse temperature (β) as

$$\beta = \frac{32\pi^{3/2}l^2}{A^{1/2}}\Big(\frac{1}{4A_P} - \frac{\pi l^2}{A_P A} - \frac{3}{2A}\Big) \tag{35}$$

Since we are dealing with macroscopic black holes with a large event horizon area and hence the second term in the expression of β is highly suppressed. So, β is positive only when $A > l^2$ i.e. radius of horizon is greater than cosmic length. So, 'β' is positive and is an essential criterion for thermodynamic stability. One also verifies that unlike the asymptotically flat case, for anti-de Sitter black holes, the horizon temperature does increase with horizon area.

To complete the test for thermal stability, condition (15) has to be checked with $n = 2$. With the identification $C^1 = Q$ and $C^2 = P$, it can be easily shown from equation no. (15) and (16) that $D_1 > 0, D_2 > 0, D_3 > 0$. Thus, the Asymptotically ADS Dyonic Black Holes with Electric and Magnetic Charge turns out to be thermodynamically stable for a sufficiently large negative asymptotic curvature. The existence of magnetic charge implies that this type of black hole carries quantum charge.

C. Summary

We reiterate that our analysis is quite independent of specific classical spacetime geometries, relying as it does on quantum aspects of spacetime. The construction of the partition function used standard formulations of equilibrium statistical mechanics augmented by results from canonical Quantum Gravity, with extra inputs regarding the behaviour of the microcanonical entropy as a function of area *beyond the Bekenstein-Hawking area law*, as for instance derived from Loop Quantum Gravity [6]. However, we emphasize that the results are more general than being restricted to any specific proposal for quantum spacetime geometry, requiring only certain functional dependences on horizon area and other parameters of statistical mechanical quantities like entropy. It also stands to reason that our stability criteria are useful for predicting the thermal behaviour vis-a-vis Hawking radiation for specific astrophysical black holes.

It is also noteworthy that our approach is applicable to black holes with arbitrary 'hairs' (charges) - either quantum or classical in Lorentzian spacetimes with arbitrary number of spatial dimensions. In fact in this paper two explicit examples are chosen extremely carefully. In one example, Black hole is in five dimensional spacetime and in the other one black hole has magnetic charge i.e. Quantum hair. Thus both the examples are beyond four spacetime dimensional classical general theory of relativity and hence these examples explicitly show that our criteria is fully independent of dimensionality of spacetime and nature of charges of Black hole i.e. whether classical or quantum. These examples are sufficient evidences to support our claim that our formalism is independent of classical spacetime structure. Black holes with quantum hairs had been studied in ([14])-([20]). In these references, it is more or less shown that energy of

black hole in general depends on quantum hairs as well. So, it is naturally expected that these hairs will govern thermal stability of black hole. Our formalism is independent of number of hairs of black hole and dimension of spacetime. This formalism will work for any quantum theory of gravity(like Loop Quantum gravity, String Theory) as detail structure of quantum nature of gravity has not been used anywhere apart from few assumptions (which can easily be modified if required). So, this formalism is blind to the fact that how gravity is quantized. In this sense, this paper covers entire gamut of black hairs and their role on thermal stability of black hole.

Acknowledgement : I like to thank Professor Parthasarathi Majumdar for illuminating discussions.

---

[1] P. Majumdar, Class. Quant. Grav. 24 (2007) 1747; e-Print ArXiv : gr-qc/ 0701014
[2] P. Majumdar, Int. Jou. Mod. Phys. A24 (2009) 3414; e-Print ArXiv :0903.5080
[3] C. Rovelli, *Quantum Gravity*, Cambridge Monographs On Mathematical Physics (2004)
[4] T. Thiemann, *Modern Canonical Quantum General Relativity*, Cambridge Monographs On Mathematical Physics (2007)
[5] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, Phys. Lett. B439 (1998) 267; e-Print ArXiv : gr-qc/ 9801080
[6] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett 84 (2000) 5255; e-Print ArXiv : gr-qc/ 0002040
[7] A. Ashtekar, J. Baez and K. Krasnov, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. 4 (2000) 1; e-Print ArXiv : gr-qc/ 0005126
[8] A. Majhi and P. Majumdar, Class. Quant. Grav. 31 (2014) 195003; e-Print ArXiv : 1301.4553.
[9] A. Ashtekar and B. Krishnan, Living Rev. Rel., 7 (2004) 10; e-Print ArXiv : gr-qc/ 0407042
[10] R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, Phys.Rev., D83 (2011) 024038; e-Print ArXiv : 1004.5487
[11] R. Basu, R. K. Kaul and P. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. D82 (2010) 024007; e-Print ArXiv : 0907.0846v3
[12] A.K.Sinha and P.Majumdar e-Print Arxiv:1512.04181v2
[13] A. Majhi and P. Majumdar, Class. Quant. Grav. 29 (2012) 135013; e-Print arXiv : 1108.4670
[14] S.Coleman , J.Preslill and F.Wilczek , Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 1975
[15] P.Bizon , Phys. Rev. Lett. 64, 2844 (1990)
[16] L. M. Krauss and F. Wilczek , Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1221 (1989)
[17] M. J. Bowick, S. B. Giddings, J. A. Harvey, G.T. Horowitz and A. Strominger , Phys. Rev. Lett. 61, 2823(1988)
[18] K.Lee, V. P. Nair and E.J. Weinberg , Phys. Rev. D 45, 2751(1992)
[19] J.Preskill , Physica Scripta. Vol. T36, 258-264, 1991
[20] S.Coleman , J.Preslill and F.Wilczek , Mod. Phys. Lett. A 06, 1631 (1991)
[21] A. Chatterjee and P. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 92 (2004) 141031; e-Print ArXiv : gr-qc/ 0309026
[22] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifschitz, *Statistical Physics*, Pergamon Press, 1980
[23] R. Monteiro, hep-th arXiv:1006.5358
[24] R. C. Myers and M. J. Perry , Annals of Physics 172, 304-347 (1986)
[25] David L. Wiltshire , J. Australian Math. Soc. B41 (1999) 198-216